UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,683	05/22/2004	Johan Ockborn	7589.176.PCUS00	3047
NOVAK DRUCE AND QUIGG LLP (Volvo)  1000 LOUISIANA STREET			EXAMINER	
			AFZALI, SARANG	
FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/709,683	OCKBORN ET AL.			
Examiner initiated interview Califfracty	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SARANG AFZALI	3726			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Afte</u>	Status of Application: <u>After First Non-Final</u>			
(1) SARANG AFZALI.	(3)				
(2) <u>KENNETH FAGIN</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>8 March 2010</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/SARANG AFZALI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3726	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			



Application No. 10/709,683

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone call made to the Applicant's attorney, Mr. Fagin on 2/26 and 3/8/2010, the Examiner suggested cancelling of product claim 24 which was previously withdrawn and currently amended in order to put the application in condition for allowance. However, Mr. Fagin indicated that he has not received the permission from his client as of this date.